



**University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice**

**Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters**

Zátiší 728/II

389 25 Vodňany

**Student:**

**Field of study:**

**Form of study:**

**Title of the final thesis:**

**Supervisor of the final thesis:**

Name, surname, titles

**Workplace and job position of the reviewer**

---

## **1. Formulation of the thesis's objectives**

An introduction to the solution of problems is evaluated, i.e. the justification of the need for the solution of the thesis and the understandability and logicity of the stated objectives with respect to the thesis topic:

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

.....

## 2. Method of the thesis's solution

The material and methodology used to solve the objectives of the thesis including the way of statistical analysis of data (suitability, comprehensibility, relevance, complexity) are evaluated. In case of the review-type thesis the content structure, the logicity of thesis segmenting, the concept of the review thesis are evaluated.

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

.....

## 3. Work with information

The extent and relevance of used information accessible in the literature, its topicality, truthfulness, complexity, the way of interpretation of the information and extent of information used, the method of description of results and their comparison with other available information, the ability to draw conclusions are evaluated.

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

.....

#### 4. Formal processing of the thesis

Compliance with the uniform style, graphic layout, clarity, level of language processing, adherence to the citation standard, quality of graphs and images, etc. are evaluated.

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

.....

#### 5. Fulfilment of the thesis's objectives

The results of the work are compared with the stated objectives and the research plan (the research plan is included in the thesis and it includes key information from the student's supervisor).

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

.....

## 6. Formulation of the thesis's conclusions

The comprehensibility of the conclusions and their relevance to the findings (scientific or informative) are evaluated.

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

---

## 7. Professional benefit of the thesis

The usefulness of the thesis for the given field, its scientific or professional expertise is evaluated.

**Evaluation** (mark from 1 – the best to 4 – insufficient):

1	2	3	4
---	---	---	---

**Comment on the evaluation** (justification of the proposed mark). Comment is compulsory.

---

## Overall evaluation of the thesis:

<b>Proposal of the evaluation with the mark:</b>	<b>excellent</b>	<b>I recommend the thesis for defence:</b>	<b>yes</b>
	<b>very good</b>		<b>no</b>
	<b>good</b>		
	<b>insufficient</b>		

---

**Questions for defence:**

**Question for defence 1**

(compulsory)

**Question for defence 2**

(compulsory)

.....

**Other comments,  
expressions and  
suggestions for defence  
of the thesis,  
respectively to its further  
use:**

(optional)

---

**Date and signature:**

**Date:**

**Signature of the thesis' reviewer:**